



ALL INDIA BANK OFFICERS' ASSOCIATION

CENTRAL OFFICE

2nd Floor, 109/10, Angappa Naicken Street,
CHENNAI - 600 001



Ph: 25265511/Mobile: 98406 45081 Fax: 044-25249081 / E-mail: aiboa.hq@gmail.com Website: www.aiboa.org

IBA:BKS:322:2026

30.09.2026.

BY EMAIL/SPEED POST:

**Shri. Gopal Murli Bhagat,
Deputy Chief Executive,
Indian Banks' Association,
MUMBAI.**

Sir,

Re: Formation of High -Level Committee Constituted by IBA.

Ref: 1. Your letter HR/IR/BPS/2026-27/3596-A dated 29.09.2026.

2. Our letter IBA/BKS/321/2026 dated 29.09.2026.

* * * * *

We are confident that the above referred communication must have been received by your office yesterday.

2. Further to the referred letter, we have been given to understand that the High-Level Committee Constituted by IBA to address **the issue of 5 day Banking week will be conducting the online meeting today at 10.30 A.M.**

3. We have placed on record the details of the **interim stay order dated 15.07.2026 restraining** Mr. Sanjay Kumar Khan not to use logo, letterhead as well as the designation of the any office bearer and urged upon you to remove his name from the list, as **you have designated him as General Secretary AIBOA.**

4. To substantiate further our submissions, we enclose the zerox copy of the judgement delivered by the **Hon'ble High Court of Bombay in the W.P.No.3221/2025 [Please refer judgement para 5] by Hon'ble Judge ...N.A. JAMADAR, on 10.03.2025.** We had already handed over the document in person to the Chief Executive of IBA, after the conclusion of one of the meetings.

5. As the issue referred to the High- Level committee, has been raised by us also in the conciliation proceedings held on 09.09.2026 and 23.09.2026 before the Dy. CLC (Central), our organization **(legally elected twice)** should be engaged in the proposed exercise.

6. Please acknowledge receipt and **effect the removal of the name of Mr. Sanjay Kumar Khan, an individual, to represent AIBOA, which is against the restraint orders of the courts.**

Yours faithfully,



S.NAGARAJAN.
GENERAL SECRETARY.

ENCL : 1. JUDGEMENT DATED 10.03.2025

REPRODUCED BY KARNATAKA BANK OFFICERS' ORGANISATION (REGD.) MANGALURU-575 003

Mangaluru
30.09.2026



(SURESH HEGDE S)
GENERAL SECRETARY

ALL INDIA BANK OFFICERS' ASSOCIATION	...	...	...	ZINDABAD
KARNATAKA BANK OFFICERS' ORGANISATION	...	...	...	ZINDABAD
OFFICERS - WORKMEN UNITY	...	...	...	ZINDABAD



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 3221 OF 2025

All India Bank Officers' Association Through Its ..Petitioner
General Secretary and Authorized Rep Sundaram
Nagarajan
Versus
Sanjay Kumar Khan & Anr ...Respondents

Mr. Bhavesh Parmar, with Rahul Gaikwad, Aman Jhawar, Rajesh
Sahani, Aqueel Patel and Virendra Kadam, i/b Gravitas Legal,
for the Petitioner.
Mr. Nikhil Patil, with Jaideep Singh Khattar & Rohit B, i/b The Fort
Circle, for the Respondent No.1.

CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR, J.
DATED : 10th MARCH 2025

PG.:

- 1.** Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner.
- 2.** The challenge in this Petition is to an order dated 14th January 2025 passed by the learned Judge, City Civil Court, whereby a Chamber Summons taken out by respondent no.1 to implead him as a party-defendant in the Suit instituted by the plaintiff against respondent no.2 has been allowed.
- 3.** The essential dispute between the petitioner and defendant no.1 is regarding the right to represent the All India Bank Officers' Association ("the Association"). Both factions claim to be lawfully elected and contest the claim of the other faction to the office bearership of the Association.

ARUN
RAMCHANDRA
SANKPAL

Digitally signed by
ARUN
RAMCHANDRA
SANKPAL
Date: 2025.03.12
17:24:33 +05'30'

4. The learned Counsel for the petitioner invited attention of the Court to the description of respondent no.1 in the title of the Chamber Summons wherein respondent no.1 claims to be the General Secretary of the Association. It was submitted that the petitioner-plaintiff is not averse to the impleadment, as such, of respondent no.1 as a party-defendant but is opposed to his impleadment in the capacity in which the respondent no.1 has taken out the Chamber Summons. It was submitted that the faction which the respondent no.1 represents has filed two suits; one before at Civil Court at Dwarka, Delhi and another before the City Civil Court, Dindohsi Division, Mumbai. But no interim relief has been granted in those suits.

5. The learned Judge, City Civil Court has recorded a *prima facie* finding that any adjudication in the instant suit may infringe the rights of respondent no.1. In that view of the matter the necessity of impleadment of respondent no.1 as a party-defendant cannot be contested. However, the respondent no.1 ought to be impleaded in his individual capacity *de hors* the appellation which the respondent no.1 has given to himself namely, “General Secretary” of the Association.

6. It is, further, clarified that respondent no.1 is entitled to raise all the contentions as permissible in law on the premise that he claims to be an elected General Secretary of the Association in the pleading which may be filed on behalf of respondent no.1.

7. With the aforesaid clarification, the petition stands disposed.

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]